IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE FIFTEENTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MS JUSTICE G.ROHINI

WRIT PETITION NO : 8319 of 2005

Between:

1 P.Rajesh, S/o. P.Ramaiah Gupta,
North Extension Layout, Seethammadhara, Visakhapatnam.
2 P.Bhagyalakshmi, W/o. P.Ramaiah Gupta,
North Extension Layout, Seethammadhara, Visakhapatnam.
3 C.K.Satyavathi, W/o. Ch.V.Appa Rao,
Pandurangapuram, Visakhapatnam.s
4 Ch.V.Appa Rao S/o. Late C.V.Ramanujam,
Visakhapatnam.
5 P.V.Lakshmi W/o. C.Veeresh,
SERN, Somajiguda, Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

The Visakhapatnam Urban Deveopment Authority, rep.

by its Vice Chairman, Visakhapatnam.

.....RESPONDENT

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate writ or order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the action of the respondent in interfering with petitioners land situate in Plot No.385, 380,382 and 381 respectively in T.D.No.22 in R.S.No.83 Part, Gajuwaka Village, Visakhapatnam District without following due process of law as arbitrary and opposed to the principles of natural justice and consequently direct the respondent not to interfere with petitioners peaceful possession and enjoyment of land situate in Plot Nos.385,380, 382,383and 381 respectively in T.D.No.22 in S.No.83 Part, Gajuwaka Village, Visakhapatnam District.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.K.SRINIVASA RAO

Counsel for the Respondent: MRS.V.PREETI REDDY

This Court at the stage of admission made the following:

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed seeking a declaration that the alleged action of the respondent-Visakhapatnam Urban Development Authority in interfering with the lands of the petitioners 1 to 5 situated in Plot Nos.385, 380, 382, 383 and 381 respectively in T.D.No.22 in R.S.No.83 Part, Gajuwaka Village, Visakhapatnam District as illegal and arbitrary.

The petitioners claim that they are the owners and possessors of the lands in question having purchased the same under registered sale deeds and that the officials of the respondent are interfering with their possession without following due process of law. Hence, this writ petition.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned standing counsel appearing for the respondent, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent not to interfere with the possession of the petitioners in respect of the lands in question without following due process of law. No costs.

_____

G.ROHINI, J

15.04.2005

DR

To

1 The Visakhapatnam Urban Deveopment Authority, rep.

by its Vice Chairman, Visakhapatnam.

2 2 CD copies